OcBoard Modtings: 17p November 19-20, 2002

STATE OF CALIFORNIA (Revised 7/24/2002)

CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

To request a Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR), please complete and sign this request sheet and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.

Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board Office of Local Assistance, (MS 25) 1001 I Street PO Box 4025 Sacramento CA 95812-4025

# General Instructions:

For a Time Extension complete Sections I, II, III-A, IV-A, and V.

For an Atternative Diversion Requirement complete Sections I, II, III-B, IV-B and V.

Section I: Jurisdiction Info All respondents must complete this	s section.				to best of my knowledge	
I certify under penalty of perjurand that I am authorized to ma	y that the ke this ce	information in this d entification on behalf	ocument is true and of:	correct to t	ne dest of thy knowledge,	
Jurisdiction Name		County				
City of Clearlake		Lake				
Authorized Signature			Title			
Jamino lowe			Code Enforcement Officer			
Type/Print Name of Person Signing	Date			Phone		
Janine Lowe		9/5/02		(707) 994-8201		
Person Completing This Form (please print or type)			Title  Code Enforcement Officer			
Janine Lowe						
Phone E-mail Address		E-mail Address	Fax			
(707994-8201)				(7079952653)		
	<del>z</del>		T	1	Time Code	
Mailing Address	City		State		ZIP Code	
14050 Olympic Drive Clearlake			CA		95451x	

Section II—Cover Sheet	
This cover sheet is to be completed for each	Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion
Requirement (ADR) requested.	Time Extension (TE) of Alternative Diversion
1. Eligibility  Has your jurisdiction filed its Source Reduction Element, and Nondisposal Facility Element virequesting an ADR)?	on and Recycling Element, Household Hazardous Waste with the Board (must have been filed by July 1, 1998 if yo
No. If no, stop; not eligible for a TE	or ADR.
Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or A	ADR.
2. Specific Request and Length of Requ	est
Please specify the request desired.	
☐ Time Extension Request	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Specific years requested	
Is this a second request?  No  (Note: Requests for an additional	☐ Yes Specific years requested extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's effort the first extension were not successful.)
Is this a second request?   (Note: Requests for an additional meet the 50% goal by the end of	extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's effort
Is this a second request?   (Note: Requests for an additional meet the 50% goal by the end of the second requirement Region Regio	extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efform the first extension were not successful.)
Is this a second request?  No (Note: Requests for an additional meet the 50% goal by the end of the second Requirement Research ADR requested 47  Is this a second ADR request?  No	extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforthe first extension were not successful.)  equest (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).  %, for the years 2002, 2003, 2004
Is this a second request?  No  (Note: Requests for an additional meet the 50% goal by the end of the second ADR requests?  No  Is this a second ADR request?  No  years	extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efform the first extension were not successful.)  equest (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).  %, for the years 2002, 2003, 2004  Yes Specific ADR requested%, for the ADR will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to
Is this a second request? No  (Note: Requests for an additional meet the 50% goal by the end of the second Requirement Research ADR requested 47  Is this a second ADR request? No second ADR requests for an additional 50% by the end of the first ADR positive. Extensions may be requested anytime January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An original three years and subsequent requests for TE.	extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efform the first extension were not successful.)  equest (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).  %, for the years 2002, 2003, 2004  Yes Specific ADR requested%, for the ADR will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to
Is this a second request? No  (Note: Requests for an additional meet the 50% goal by the end of the Specific ADR requested 47  Is this a second ADR request? No spears (Note: Requests for an additional 50% by the end of the first ADR positive years 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An original spears and subsequent requests for TE circumstances but the total number of years	extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's effective first extension were not successful.)  equest (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).  %, for the years 2002, 2003, 2004  Yes Specific ADR requested
Is this a second request? No  (Note: Requests for an additional meet the 50% goal by the end of the Specific ADR requested 47  Is this a second ADR request? No specific ADR: Requests for an additional 50% by the end of the first ADR positive. Extensions may be requested anytime January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An original three years and subsequent requests for TE circumstances but the total number of years	extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's effective first extension were not successful.)  equest (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).  %, for the years 2002, 2003, 2004  Yes Specific ADR requested
Is this a second request? No  (Note: Requests for an additional meet the 50% goal by the end of the Specific ADR requested 47  Is this a second ADR request? No specific ADR requests for an additional 50% by the end of the first ADR positive. Extensions may be requested anytime January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An original three years and subsequent requests for TE circumstances but the total number of years	extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's effective first extension were not successful.)  equest (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).  %, for the years 2002, 2003, 2004  Yes Specific ADR requested
Is this a second request? No  (Note: Requests for an additional meet the 50% goal by the end of the Specific ADR requested 47  Is this a second ADR request? No specific ADR requests for an additional 50% by the end of the first ADR positive. Extensions may be requested anytime January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An original three years and subsequent requests for TE circumstances but the total number of years	extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's effective first extension were not successful.)  equest (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).  %, for the years 2002, 2003, 2004  Yes Specific ADR requested

# Section IIIA—TIME EXTENSION

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's progress in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.							
Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIA-1).							
<ol> <li>Why does your jurisdiction need more time to meet the 50% goal? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.</li> </ol>							
2. Why does your jurisdiction need the amount of time requested? Describe any relevant circumstances in							
the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for a Time Extension.							
3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.							
4. Provide any additional relevant information that supports the request.							

#### Section IIIB—ALTERNATIVE DIVERSION REQUIREMENT

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's efforts in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIB-1.).

1. Why does your invisition pool and Alternative Diseasion Describe with SDDF release

1. Why does your jurisdiction need and Alternative Diversion Requirement? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.

The City has been successful in implementing 30 of their 32 SRRE selected programs, and it has also implemented three alturnative programs. The two programs that have not yet been implemented are still planned for the future.

Mandatory garbage service is not practical for the rural, low-income area that also includes the highest retirement age percentage in the State. The rural character of the City makes collection and disposal of refuse and recyclables much more difficult and expensive. Mandatory service is not a political option since residents feel strongly about having the "right" to self haul materials, and it is not a physical option given the road conditions. Markets for recyclables are distant which increases the transportation costs and the time involved in accessing viable and available processors. Low or no income for recyclables makes recycling more difficult, more expensive, and less attractive to individuals and haulers.

The City recently entered into a revised contract with its hauler that will implement single-stream recycling and automated service beginning November 1, 2002. By keeping curbside fees at very low prices and by providing wheeled carts for consumers, the City hopes to attract more seniors and low-income people to participate in the single-stream and greenwaste recycling programs.

In addition, the County's outreach program is continually expanding and seeks to encourage more recycling by residents and businesses, and to identify opportunities for more diversion including business and school recycling audit programs.

#### 2. Why is your jurisdiction requesting an Alternative Diversion Requirement in lieu of a Time Extension?

We do not believe we will be able to achieve a 50% diversion rate, which a time extension application requires. However, we do not feel we have reached our maximum diversion rate. The new single-stream curbside collection program that targets residential and commercial sectors should increase the City's diversion rate. Once the time frame in the plan of correction has expired, the City will likely submit a Petition for Rural Reduction application.

3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

The City has access to all implemented SREE selected programs. In addition, the City wishes to expand existing programs to further increase diversion. The County provides increasing opportunities for convenient and inexpensive or free recycling for curbside, commercial and self-haul customers. The County also has a full-time Recycling Outreach Coordinator who develops and implements marketing programs, public information programs, and participates in a wide variety of community events to reach the widest audience possible. Both the curbside recycling program and the Hazmobile program operated by the County have received awards. The County's recently implemented CRT program heavily subsidizes actual disposal costs to encourage residents to propertly recycle these banned units. The County provides several programs which are accessible to the City for our participation at no additional charge to City residents.

4. Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for an ADR. Provide any relevant information that supports the request.

Average Statewide rates of pounds/person/day (p/p/d) as compared to the p/p/d for the City in that same year demonstrate an inherent disadvantage in the City of Clearlake achieving a diversion rate of 50% of its 1990 rate. The State average was 8.1 p/p/d while the City average was only 4.23 p/p/d. We believe the 1990 figure was accurate, but was a reflection of the low-income and of the illegal dumping and stockpiling that reduced the disposal tonnage. To achieve 50% of this figure would mean City residents would have to achieve a p/p/d of 2.12, which is significantly less that what the State 50% average would be.

According to the CIWMB website, Lake County represents only 21% of the Coastal North Area which represents only 1% of the State's entire disposal tonnage. The minimal impact of Lake County's share of the State tonnage, the disparate ppd that would be required of City residents support our request to apply for an alternate diversion rate for the next three years. While the City will most likely apply for a rural reduction, we have been advised by CIWMB staff to seek an ADR until we feel we have reached our maximum diversion potential. Given the new programs we are implementing this year, we feel comfortable that we can achieve a 47% diversion rate in the three-year period requested.

# Section IV A—PLAN OF CORRECTION

A Plan of Correction is required by PRC Section 41820(a)(6)(B). The plan is fundamentally a description of the actions the jurisdiction will take to meet the 50% goal by the expiration of the Time Extension.

Attach additional sheets if necessary.

Residential %				Nor	n-residential %			
PROGRAM TYPE Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is	NEW or EXPAND				FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FU COMPLE		ESTIMATEI PERCENT DIVERSION
online at: www.ciwmb.ca.gov/ LGCentral/PARIS/Codes/ Reduce.htm								
								<b></b>
				9764				
W. A.A.V.								
		Total E		on Percent From Ne				
			Total Pla	anned Diversion Per	cent Estimated			
, <u></u>	PROC	RAMS S	SUPPORTIN	G DIVERSIO	N ACTIVITIES			
		IEW or PANDED			ROGRAM		DATE FULLY COMPLETED	
							.,	
Web advantage				W-1/24 (A.)	NVI na de de de			

## Section IV B-GOAL ACHIEVEMENT

Goal Achievement describes the activities the jurisdiction will use to achieve the ADR.

Attach additional sheets if necessary

Resid	ential %		73 Non-residential %				27		
PROGRAM TYPE Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at:	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM			FUNDING SOURCE		FULLY PLETED	ESTIMATED PERCENT DIVERSION	
www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LG Central/PARIS/Codes/ Reduce.htm									
Residential curbside	Expand	Implementatio service will be franchise haul	n of single-stream and ful gin November 1, 2002 for er.	Franchise haulers fees	10/2004		2%		
recyclables  Commercial single- stream	Expand	Implementatio service will be franchise haul	on of single-stream and ful gin November 1, 2002 for er.	Franchise haulers fees	10/2004		1%		
Begin haule			Beginning November 1, 2002, the City's franchise hauler will offer residential greenwaste collection to all Clearlake households.			10/200	4	2%	
		Total E	Estimated Diversion Perd	ent From New	v and/or Expanded	l Program	IS	5%	
		Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report						42%	
···	Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated						47%		
	PF	ROGRAMS	SUPPORTING D	IVERSIO	N ACTIVITIE	S			
PROGRAM TYPE NEW or EXPAND			DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM			DATE			
Expanded Education/Outreach			The City, in conjunction with the hauler, will promote the exp of the curbside collection program for the residential and commercail sectors by advertising in the newspaper, provid flyers, and brochures will be available at the City office. The County produces a Recycling Guide that updates residents programs and opportunities to recycle.			iding he	Ongoing e		

Board Meeting November 19-20, 2002 Agenda Item Attachment 1

,				
	•			
1				
- 1			·	
		į l	l l	
ŀ				
			ve.	
ı				
- 1		i I	l l	
ı				

## Section V - PARIS

Office of Local Assistance staff will be reviewing your Jurisdiction's Planning Annual Report Information System (PARIS) database printout as part of the evaluation of your request. Should the Jurisdiction have updates or revisions to the program implementation from the latest Annual Report submitted to the Board, please attach to the application the Jurisdiction's PARIS database printout showing updates or revisions.

Contact your Office of Local Assistance Representative at (916) 341-6199 for a copy of PARIS, or go to the Board's website at www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/.